arXiv:1404.4686v2 [math.FA] 8 Mar 2015

INFINITE NETWORKS AND VARIATION OF CONDUCTANCE FUNCTIONS IN DISCRETE LAPLACIANS

PALLE JORGENSEN AND FENG TIAN

Abstract. For a given infinite connected graph $G = (V, E)$ and an arbitrary but fixed conductance function c, we study an associated graph Laplacian Δ_c; it is a generalized difference operator where the differences are measured across the edges E in G; and the conductance function c represents the corresponding coefficients. The graph Laplacian (a key tool in the study of infinite networks) acts in an energy Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_E$ computed from c. Using a certain Parseval frame, we study the spectral theoretic properties of graph Laplacians. In fact, for fixed c, there are two versions of the graph Laplacian, one defined naturally in the l^2 space of V, and the other in $\mathscr{H}_E$. The first is automatically selfadjoint, but the second involves a Krein extension. We prove that, as sets, the two spectra are the same, aside from the point 0. The point zero may be in the spectrum of the second, but not the first.

We further study the fine structure of the respective spectra as the conductance function varies; showing now how the spectrum changes subject to variations in the function c. Specifically, we study an order on the spectra of the family of operators Δ_c, and we compare it to the ordering of pairs of conductance functions. We show how point-wise estimates for two conductance functions translate into spectral comparisons for the two corresponding graph Laplacians; involving a certain similarity: We prove that point-wise ordering of two conductance functions c on E, induces a certain similarity of the corresponding (Krein extensions computed from the) two graph Laplacians Δ_c. The spectra are typically continuous, and precise notions of fine-structure of spectrum must be defined in terms of equivalence classes of positive Borel measures (on the real line.) Our detailed comparison of spectra is analyzed this way.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. Basic Setting 5
2.2. The Energy Hilbert Spaces $\mathscr{H}_E$ 6
2.3. The Graph-Laplacian 8

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47L60, 46N30, 46N50, 42C15, 65R10, 05C50, 05C75, 31C20; Secondary 46N20, 22E70, 31A15, 58J65, 81S25.

Key words and phrases. Unbounded operators, deficiency-indices, Hilbert space, boundary values, weighted graph, reproducing kernel, Dirichlet form, graph Laplacian, resistance network, harmonic analysis, frame, Parseval frame, Krein extension, reversible random walk, resistance distance, energy Hilbert space.

3. The Krein Extension 9
3.1. A Factorization via $l^2(V')$ 10
3.2. Further Comments 16
4. The Spectrum of the Graph Laplacians 18
5. Variation of Conductance 21
5.1. Comparing Spectra 28
5.2. Comparing Harmonic Functions, and Dirac Masses 30
References 33

1. Introduction

By an electrical network we mean a graph G of vertices and edges satisfying suitable conditions which allow for computation of voltage distribution from a network of prescribed resistors assigned to the edges in G. The mathematical axioms are prescribed in a way that facilitates the use of the laws of Kirchhoff and Ohm in computing voltage distributions and resistance distances in G. It will be more convenient to work with prescribed conductance functions c on G. Indeed with a choice of conductance function c specified we define two crucial tools for our analysis, a graph Laplacian $\Delta\,(=\Delta_c,)$ a discrete version of more classical notions of Laplacians, and an energy Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_E$.

To make it more clear what are the new contributions in the present paper relative to previous work (e.g., [JP10, JP11a, JP11b, JT14]), we note that they are two-fold: our spectral theoretic conclusions in Section 4 below, and our applications in Section 5. The key to our construction in sect 4 is our identification of a canonical closable operator L_0 (with dense domain) from l^2 into $\mathscr{H}_E$. Once closability is established, from the graph-closure L, we then get the following two selfadjoint operators LL^* in $\mathscr{H}_E$, and L^*L in l^2. We show that the first is a Krein extension (referring to $\mathscr{H}_E$) , and that the second is the selfadjoint l^2-Laplacian Δ_2. Of course l^2 does not depend on choice of conductance function, but the graph Laplacian Δ does. A number of issues are resolved, and care is exercised: Since L_0 maps between different Hilbert spaces, i.e., from a dense domain in l^2 to $\mathscr{H}_E$, its adjoint L_0^* acts in the reverse direction. We show that the domain of L_0^* is dense in $\mathscr{H}_E$, and so L_0 is closable. From this we proceed to establish unitary equivalence between an associated pair of selfadjoint operators. Specifically we show that two selfadjoint operators LL^* in $\mathscr{H}_E$, and L^*L are unitarily equivalent; i.e., that the corresponding spectral measures are unitarily equivalent, and we specify an explicit intertwining operator.

Note that unitary equivalence is a global conclusion, and that it is a much stronger conclusion than related local notions of "same spectrum," such as comparison of local Radon-Nikodym derivatives for the respective spectral measures computed in cyclic subspaces.

Because of statistical consideration, and our use of random walk models, we focus our study on infinite electrical networks, i.e., the case when the graph G is countable

infinite. In this case, for realistic models the graph Laplacian Δ_c will then be an unbounded operator with dense domain in $\mathscr{H}_E$, Hermitian and semibounded. Hence it has a unique Krein extension. Our main theorem gives an answer to how the Krein extensions depend on assignment of conductance function. Our theorem offers a direct comparison the Krein extensions Δ_c associated to pairs conductance functions of say c_1, and c_2 both defined on the same G.

Large networks arise in both pure and applied mathematics, e.g., in graph theory (the mathematical theory of networks), and more recently, they have become a current and fast developing research area; with applications including a host of problems coming from for example internet search, and social networks. Hence, of the recent applications, there is a change in outlook from finite to infinite.

More precisely, in traditional graph theoretical problems, the whole graph is given exactly, and we are then looking for relationships between its parameters, variables and functions; or for efficient algorithms for computing them. By contrast, for very large networks (like the Internet), variables are typically not known completely; – in most cases they may not even be well defined. In such applications, data about them can only be collected by indirect means; hence random variables and local sampling must be used as opposed to global processes.

Although such modern applications go far beyond the setting of large electrical networks (even the case of infinite sets of vertices and edges), it is nonetheless true that the framework of large electrical networks is helpful as a basis for the analysis we develop below; and so our results will be phrased in the setting of large electrical networks, even though the framework is much more general.

The applications of "large" or infinite graphs are extensive, counting just physics; see for example [BCD06, RAKK05, KMRS05, BC05, TD03, VZ92].

2. Preliminaries

Starting with a given network (V, E, c), we introduce functions on the vertices V, voltage, dipoles, and point-masses; and on the edges E, conductance, and current. We introduce the graph-Laplacian Δ (see Definition 2.4 below.) There are two Hilbert spaces serving different purposes, $l^2(V)$, and the energy Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_E$; the latter depending on choice of conductance function c.

The graph Laplacian Δ (Definition 2.4) has an easy representation as a densely defined semibounded operator in $l^2(V)$ via its matrix representation, see Remark 2.5. To do this we use implicitly the standard orthonormal (ONB) basis $\{\delta_x\}$ in $l^2(V)$. But in network problems, and in metric geometry, $l^2(V)$ is not useful; rather we need the energy Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_E$ (see Section 2.2.)

We are motivated in part by earlier papers on analysis on discrete networks, see e.g., [Ana11, Gri10, Zem96, Bar93, Tet91].

Properties of $\mathscr{H}_E$: The case when V is countably infinite, i.e., $\#V = \aleph_0$.

(i) The equation $\Delta h = 0$ typically has non-zero solutions in $\mathscr{H}_E$, but not in $l^2(V)$.

(ii) Let x and y be two distinct vertices; then the equation

$$\Delta v_{xy} = \delta_x - \delta_y \tag{2.1}$$

has a solution in $\mathscr{H}_E$, but $v_{xy} \notin l^2(V)$.

(iii) Given $x \neq y$ in V, then there is a unique $v_{xy} \in \mathscr{H}_E$ (dipole) such

$$\langle v_{xy}, u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = u(x) - u(y), \tag{2.2}$$

and v_{xy} satisfies (2.1); i.e., (2.2) implies (2.1).

(iv) Fix a base-point $o \in V$, and for $x \in V' := V\backslash\{o\}$, set $v_x := v_{xo}$; and

$$G(x,y) := \langle v_x, v_y\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E}, \tag{2.3}$$

then

$$\Delta_y G(x,y) = \delta_{x,y}. \tag{2.4}$$

The function G in (2.3) is called the Gramian.

(v) The dipole vectors v_{xy} from (iii) satisfy the following:

$$\|v_{xy}\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_E} = \sup\left\{\frac{1}{\|u\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_E}} \;\middle|\; u \in \mathscr{H}_E, u(x) = 1, u(y) = 0\right\}$$

and

$$dist_c(x,y) = \|v_{xy}\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_E} = v_{xy}(x) - v_{xy}(y) \tag{2.5}$$

is a metric on V.

Remark 2.1. In the notation of electrical networks, (2.5) states that the distance between x and y is the voltage drop measured in the dipole.

Remark 2.2 (Properties of the metric d_c in (2.5)). Combining (2.5) and (2.2) we immediately obtain the following estimate

$$|u(x) - u(y)|^2 \leq dist_c(x,y)\,\|u\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_E}$$

valid for all $u \in \mathscr{H}_E$ and all pairs of vertices x and y in V.

Consequence (i): If $\widetilde{V}_c$ denotes the completion of the metric space $(V, dist_c)$, then every $u \in \mathscr{H}_E$ extends by completion to be a continuous Lipschitz-function (denoted $\widetilde{u}$) on $\widetilde{V}_c$, and we have

$$|\widetilde{u}(x^*) - \widetilde{u}(y^*)|^2 \leq \widetilde{dist_c}(x^*, y^*)\,\|u\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_E}$$

valid for all points x^*, y^* in $\widetilde{V}_c$.

Consequence (ii): With notations as above; we conclude that the space of continuous functions

$$\left\{\widetilde{u} \text{ on } \widetilde{V}_c \;\middle|\; \|u\|_{\mathscr{H}_E} \leq 1\right\}$$

is relatively compact in $C(\widetilde{V}_c)$.

Proof of (ii). Follows from (i), and the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem. □

2.1. **Basic Setting**

Here we define the graph Laplacian $\Delta\,(=\Delta_c)$, and the energy Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_E$, and we outline some of their properties.

Let V be a countable discrete set, and let $E\subset V\times V$ be a subset such that:

(1) $(xy)\in E \iff (yx)\in E$; $x,y\in V$;
(2) $\#\{y\in V \mid (xy)\in E\}$ is finite, and >0 for all $x\in V$;
(3) $(xx)\notin E$; and
(4) $\exists\, o\in V$ s.t. for all $y\in V$ $\exists\, x_0,x_1,\dots,x_n\in V$ with $x_0=o$, $x_n=y$, $(x_{i-1}x_i)\in E$, $\forall i=1,\dots,n$. (This property is called connectedness.)
(5) If a conductance function c is given we require $c_{x_{i-1}x_i}>0$. See Definition 2.3 below.

Definition 2.3. A function $c: E\to\mathbb{R}_+$ is called *conductance function* if $c_{xy}>0$, $c_{xy}=c_{yx}$, for all $(xy)\in E$. Given $x\in V$, we set

$$c\,(x):=\sum_{(xy)\in E} c_{xy}. \tag{2.6}$$

The summation in (2.6) is denoted $x\sim y$; i.e., $x\sim y$ if $(xy)\in E$.

Definition 2.4. When c is a conductance function (see Def. 2.3) we set $\Delta=\Delta_c$ (the corresponding graph Laplacian)

$$(\Delta u)\,(x)=\sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy}\,(u\,(x)-u\,(y))=c\,(x)\,u\,(x)-\sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy}u\,(y)\,. \tag{2.7}$$

Remark 2.5. Given $G=(V,E,c)$ as above, and let $\Delta=\Delta_c$ be the corresponding graph Laplacian. With a suitable ordering on V, we obtain the following banded $\infty\times\infty$ matrix-representation for Δ (eq. (2.8)). We refer to [GLS12] for a number of applications of infinite banded matrices.

$$\begin{bmatrix}
c\,(x_1) & -c_{x_1x_2} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \cdots\\
-c_{x_2x_1} & c\,(x_2) & -c_{x_2x_3} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots\\
0 & -c_{x_3x_2} & c\,(x_3) & -c_{x_3x_4} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \cdots\\
\vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots\\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots & \cdots\\
\vdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -c_{x_nx_{n-1}} & c\,(x_n) & -c_{x_nx_{n+1}} & 0 & \cdots\\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{bmatrix} \tag{2.8}$$

(The above planar matrix representation for Δ in eq (2.7) is an oversimplification in two ways: First, in the general case, the width of the band down the diagonal in (2.8) is typically more than 3; i.e., the matrix representation for the general graph Laplacian is typically banded with much wider bands. Secondly, the width is not in general constant, i.e., for each row in the infinite by infinite matrix, the number of

non-zero entries may vary and even be unbounded. Furthermore the row and column size in the matrix is typically double infinite, and if a base point is chosen in V, it may occur inside the matrix, in a diagonal position.)

Overview of main results in the paper. Our main results from sections 4 and 5 are as follows: In section 4, we prove the following result (Theorem 4.1): Starting with a fixed graph (V, E) and an arbitrary but fixed conductance function c, as noted, we then arrive at two versions of a selfadjoint graph Laplacian, one defined naturally in the l^2 space of V, and the other in the energy Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_E$ defined from c. The first is automatically selfadjoint, but the second involves a Krein extension (see Definition 3.3). We prove that, as sets, the two spectra are the same, aside from the point 0. The point zero may be in the spectrum of the second, but not the first. In addition to this theorem, we isolate other spectral similarities.

In section 5 we turn to fine structure of the respective spectra. We study how the spectrum changes subject to change of conductance function c. Specifically, we study how the spectrum of the graph Laplacian Δ_c changes subject to variations in choice of conductance function c, how estimates for two conductance functions translate into spectra comparisons for the two corresponding graph Laplacians. We prove (Theorems 5.8 and 5.16) that the natural order of conductance functions, i.e., pointwise as functions on E, induces a certain similarity of the corresponding (Krein extensions computed from the) two graph Laplacians. Since the spectra are typically continuous, precise notions of fine-structure of spectrum must be defined in terms of equivalence classes of positive Borel measures (on the real line.) Hence our detailed comparison of spectra must be phrased involving these; see Definition 5.14.

2.2. The Energy Hilbert Spaces $\mathscr{H}_E$

Here we prove some technical lemmas for the energy Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_E$, and operators which will be needed later.

Let $G = (V, E, c)$ be an infinite connected network introduced in section 2.1. Set $\mathscr{H}_E :=$ completion of all compactly supported functions $u : V \to \mathbb{C}$ with respect to

$$\langle u, v\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{(x,y)\in E}\sum c_{xy}(\overline{u(x)} - \overline{u(y)})\,(v(x) - v(y)) \tag{2.9}$$

$$\|u\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_E} := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{(x,y)\in E}\sum c_{xy}\,|u(x) - u(y)|^2 \tag{2.10}$$

then $\mathscr{H}_E$ is a Hilbert space [JP10].

Lemma 2.6. *For all $x, y \in V$, there is a unique real-valued dipole vector $v_{xy} \in \mathscr{H}_E$ s.t.*

$$\langle v_{xy}, u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = u(x) - u(y)\,,\ \forall u \in \mathscr{H}_E. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. By the connectedness assumption (see (4), Section 2.1), one checks that

$$\mathscr{H}_E \ni u \longmapsto u(x) - u(y) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is a bounded linear functional on $\mathscr{H}_E$; so by Riesz's theorem there exists a unique $v_{xy} \in \mathscr{H}_E$ s.t. (2.11) holds. For details, see e.g., [JP10, JP11a]. □

A difficulty with Δ is that there is not an independent characterization of the domain $dom\,(\Delta, \mathscr{H}_E)$ when Δ is viewed as an operator in $\mathscr{H}_E$ (as opposed to in $l^2(V)$); other than what we do in Definition 2.11, i.e., we take for its domain $\mathscr{D}_E$ = finite span of dipoles. This creates an ambiguity with functions on V versus vectors in $\mathscr{H}_E$. Note, vectors in $\mathscr{H}_E$ are equivalence classes of functions on V. In fact we will see that it is not feasible to aim to prove properties about Δ in $\mathscr{H}_E$ without first introducing dipoles; see Lemma 2.6. Also the delta-functions $\{\delta_x\}$ from the $l^2(V)$-ONB will typically not be total in $\mathscr{H}_E$. In fact, the $\mathscr{H}_E$ ortho-complement of $\{\delta_x\}$ in $\mathscr{H}_E$ consists of the harmonic functions in $\mathscr{H}_E$, see Lemma 2.12 (5).

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a Hilbert space with inner product denoted $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, or $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{H}}$ when there is more than one possibility to consider. Let J be a countable index set, and let $\{w_j\}_{j\in J}$ be an indexed family of non-zero vectors in $\mathscr{H}$. We say that $\{w_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a *frame* for $\mathscr{H}$ iff (Def.) there are two finite positive constants b_1 and b_2 such that

$$b_1 \|u\|^2_{\mathscr{H}} \leq \sum_{j\in J} \left|\langle w_j, u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}\right|^2 \leq b_2 \|u\|^2_{\mathscr{H}} \tag{2.12}$$

holds for all $u \in \mathscr{H}$. We say that it is a *Parseval* frame if $b_1 = b_2 = 1$.

For references to the theory and application of frames, see e.g., [HJL+13, KLZ09, CM13, SD13, KOPT13, EO13].

Lemma 2.8. *If $\{w_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a Parseval frame in $\mathscr{H}$, then the (analysis) operator $A = A_{\mathscr{H}} : \mathscr{H} \longrightarrow l^2(J)$,*

$$Au = \left(\langle w_j, u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}\right)_{j\in J} \tag{2.13}$$

is well-defined and isometric. Its adjoint $A^* : l^2(J) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}$ is given by

$$A^*\left((\gamma_j)_{j\in J}\right) := \sum_{j\in J} \gamma_j w_j \tag{2.14}$$

and the following hold:

(1) The sum on the RHS in (2.14) is norm-convergent;
(2) $A^* : l^2(J) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}$ is co-isometric; and for all $u \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$u = A^*Au = \sum_{j\in J} \langle w_j, u\rangle\, w_j \tag{2.15}$$

where the RHS in (2.15) is norm-convergent.

Proof. The details are standard in the theory of frames; see the cited papers above. Note that (2.12) for $b_1 = b_2 = 1$ simply states that A in (2.13) is isometric, and so $A^*A = I_{\mathscr{H}}$ = the identity operator in $\mathscr{H}$, and AA^* = the projection onto the range of A. □

Theorem 2.9. *Let $G = (V, E, c)$ be an infinite network. Choose an orientation on the edges, denoted by $E^{(ori)}$. Then the system of vectors*

$$\left\{ w_{xy} := \sqrt{c_{xy}} v_{xy},\ (xy) \in E^{(ori)} \right\} \tag{2.16}$$

is a Parseval frame for the energy Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_E$. For all $u \in \mathscr{H}_E$, we have the following representation

$$u = \sum_{(xy)\in E^{(ori)}} c_{xy} \langle v_{xy}, u\rangle\, v_{xy},\ \text{and} \tag{2.17}$$

$$\|u\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_E} = \sum_{(xy)\in E^{(ori)}} c_{xy} \left|\langle v_{xy}, u\rangle\right|^2 \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. See [JT14, CH08]. □

Remark 2.10. While the vectors $w_{xy} := \sqrt{c_{xy}} v_{xy}$, $(xy) \in E^{(ori)}$, form a Parseval frame in $\mathscr{H}_E$ in the general case, typically this frame is not an orthogonal basis (ONB) in $\mathscr{H}_E$.

2.3. **The Graph-Laplacian**

Here we prove some technical lemmas for graph Laplacian in the energy Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_E$.

Let $G = (V, E, c)$ be as above; assume G is connected; i.e., there is a base point o in V such that every $x \in V$ is connected to o via a finite path of edges.

If $x \in V$, we set

$$\delta_x(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = x \\ 0 & \text{if } y \neq x \end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

Definition 2.11. Let (V, E, c, o, Δ) be as above. Let $V' := V \setminus \{o\}$, and set

$$v_x := v_{x,o},\ \forall x \in V'.$$

Further, let

$$\mathscr{D}_2 := \mathit{span}\left\{ \delta_x \,\middle|\, x \in V \right\},\ \text{and} \tag{2.20}$$

$$\mathscr{D}_E := \left\{ \sum\nolimits_{\text{finite}} \xi_x v_x \,\middle|\, \xi_x \in \mathbb{C},\ x \in V' \right\}; \tag{2.21}$$

where by "span" we mean the set of all *finite* linear combinations.

Lemma 2.12 below summarizes the key properties of Δ as an operator, both in $l^2(V)$ and in $\mathscr{H}_E$.

Lemma 2.12. *The following hold:*

(1) $\langle \Delta u, v\rangle_{l^2} = \langle u, \Delta v\rangle_{l^2}$, $\forall u, v \in \mathscr{D}_2$;
(2) $\langle \Delta u, v\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = \langle u, \Delta v\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E}$, $\forall u, v \in \mathscr{D}_E$;
(3) $\langle u, \Delta u\rangle_{l^2} \geq 0$, $\forall u \in \mathscr{D}_2$, *and*
(4) $\langle u, \Delta u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} \geq 0$, $\forall u \in \mathscr{D}_E$.

Moreover, we have

(5) $\langle \delta_x, u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = (\Delta u)(x)$, $\forall x \in V$, $\forall u \in \mathscr{H}_E$.

(6) $\Delta v_{xy} = \delta_x - \delta_y$, $\forall v_{xy} \in \mathscr{H}_E$. *In particular,* $\Delta v_x = \delta_x - \delta_o$, $x \in V' = V \setminus \{o\}$.

(7)

$$\delta_x(\cdot) = c(x)\, v_x(\cdot) - \sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy} v_y(\cdot),\ \forall x \in V'.$$

(8)

$$\langle \delta_x, \delta_y\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = \begin{cases} c(x) = \sum_{t\sim x} c_{xt} & \text{if } y = x \\ -c_{xy} & \text{if } (xy) \in E \\ 0 & \text{if } (xy) \notin E,\ x \neq y \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [JP10, JP11a, JT14]. Note that the numbers in (8) are precisely the entries in the $\infty \times \infty$ banded matrix (2.8). □

3. The Krein Extension

Fix a conductance function c. In this section we turn to some technical lemmas we will need for the Krein extension of $\Delta\,(= \Delta_c)$; see Definition 3.3 below.

It is known the graph-Laplacian Δ is automatically essentially selfadjoint as a densely defined operator in $l^2(V)$, but not as a $\mathscr{H}_E$ operator [Jor08, JP11b]. Since Δ defined on $\mathscr{D}_E$ is semibounded, it has the Krein extension Δ_{Kre} (in $\mathscr{H}_E$).

Lemma 3.1. *Consider* Δ *with* $dom(\Delta) := span\{v_{xy} : x, y \in V\}$, *then*

$$\langle \varphi, \Delta\varphi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = \sum_{(xy)\in E} c_{xy}^2 \left|\langle v_{xy}, \varphi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E}\right|^2.$$

Proof. Suppose $\varphi = \sum \varphi_{xy} v_{xy} \in dom(\Delta)$. Note the edges are not oriented, and a direct computation shows that

$$\langle \varphi, \Delta\varphi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = 4 \sum_{x,y} |\varphi_{xy}|^2.$$

Using the Parseval frames in Theorem 2.9, we have the following representation

$$\varphi = \sum_{(xy)\in E} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} c_{xy} \langle v_{xy}, \varphi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E}}_{=:\varphi_{xy}} v_{xy}$$

Note $\varphi \in span\{v_{xy} : x, y \in V\}$, so the above equation contains a finite sum.

It follows that

$$\langle \varphi, \Delta\varphi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = 4 \sum_{(xy)\in E} |\varphi_{xy}|^2 = \sum_{(xy)\in E} c_{xy}^2 \left|\langle v_{xy}, \varphi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E}\right|^2$$

which is the assertion. □

Throughout below, we shall assume that V is infinite and countable.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $G = (V, E, c)$ be an infinite network. If the deficiency indices of $\Delta\,(= \Delta_c)$ are (k, k), $k > 0$, where $dom(\Delta) = span\{v_{xy}\}$, then the Krein extension $\Delta_{Kre} \supset \Delta$ is the restriction of Δ^* to*

$$dom(\Delta_{Kre}) := \left\{ u \in \mathscr{H}_E \;\middle|\; \sum\nolimits_{(xy)\in E} c_{xy}^2 \left|\langle v_{xy}, u\rangle_E\right|^2 < \infty \right\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3.1, and the characterization of Krein extensions of semi-bounded Hermitian operators; see, e.g., [DS88, AG93, RS75]. □

Definition 3.3. The *Krein extension* Δ_{Kre} is specified by a selfadjoint and contractive operator B in $\mathscr{H}_E$ satisfying $B(\varphi + \Delta\varphi) = \varphi$, $\forall \varphi \in \mathscr{D}_E$; and then $\Delta_{Kre} = B^{-1} - I_{\mathscr{H}_E}$; noting that B^{-1} is well defined, selfadjoint, but unbounded.

Remark 3.4. We shall return to the Krein extension after Corollary 3.6, in Theorem 4.1, and in Corollary 4.3 where we give an explicit formula for the s.a. contraction $B = B_{Kr}$.

3.1. **A Factorization via** $l^2(V')$

We begin with some preliminary lemmas about closable operators in Hilbert space.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathscr{H}_1$ and $\mathscr{H}_2$ be two Hilbert spaces with respective inner products $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_i$, $i = 1, 2$; let $\mathscr{D}_i \subset \mathscr{H}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, be two dense linear subspaces; and let*

$$L_0 : \mathscr{D}_1 \to \mathscr{H}_2, \text{ and } M_0 : \mathscr{D}_2 \to \mathscr{H}_1$$

be linear operators such that

$$\langle L_0 u, v\rangle_2 = \langle u, M_0 v\rangle_1, \ \forall u \in \mathscr{D}_1, \forall v \in \mathscr{D}_2. \tag{3.2}$$

Then both operators L_0 and M_0 are closable. The closures $L = \overline{L_0}$, and $M = \overline{M_0}$ satisfy

$$L^* \subseteq M \text{ and } M \subseteq L^*. \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, equality in (3.3) holds if and only if

$$\mathscr{N}(I_{\mathscr{H}_2} + M^*L^*) = \mathscr{N}(I_{\mathscr{H}_1} + L^*M^*) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Note (3.2) is equivalent to

$$L_0 \subset M_0^*, \text{ and } M_0 \subset L_0^*.$$

Since the adjoints M_0^*, L_0^* are closed, it follows that both L_0 and M_0 are closable. Below we give a direct argument:

Suppose $w \in \mathscr{H}_2$, $u_n \in \mathscr{D}_1$, satisfying $\|L_0 u_n - w\|_2 \to 0$, $\|u_n\|_1 \to 0$, then, for all $v \in \mathscr{D}_2$, we have

$$\langle L_0 u_n, v\rangle_2 = \langle u_n, M_0 v\rangle_1$$

and as $n \to \infty$, we get

$$\langle w, v\rangle_2 = \langle 0, M_0 v\rangle_1 = 0.$$

Since $\mathscr{D}_2$ is dense in $\mathscr{H}_2$, it follows that $w = 0$. Thus, L_0 is closable. Similarly, M_0 is closable as well. See also Lemma 3.11 below.

From (3.2) we conclude that

$$M \subset L^* \,(= L_0^*)\,, \text{ and } L \subset M^* \,(= M_0^*)\,. \tag{3.5}$$

We give conditions for the inclusions in (3.5) to be equal. To verify $M = L^*$, we must prove that the graph of M is dense in that of L^*, i.e., if $w \in dom\,(L^*)$, satisfying

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} v \\ Mv \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} w \\ L^*w \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_2 \oplus \mathscr{H}_1} = 0,\ \forall v \in \mathscr{D}_2 \tag{3.6}$$

then $w = 0$.

Now (3.6) reads

$$\langle v, w\rangle_2 + \langle Mv, L^*w\rangle_1 = 0,\ \forall v \in \mathscr{D}_2.$$

Hence $L^*w \in dom(M^*)$, and $M^*L^*w = -w$; i.e., we arrive at the implication

$$(I_{\mathscr{H}_2} + M^*L^*)\, w = 0 \Longrightarrow w = 0.$$

(3.4) follows from this. □

For the result to the effect that Δ as an operator in $l^2(V)$, with domain consisting of finitely supported functions, is essentially selfadjoint we cite [KL12, Woj07, Jor08, JP10].

Corollary 3.6. *Let L and M be as above, including (3.4), then*

(1) ML is selfadjoint in $\mathscr{H}_1$, and

(2) LM is selfadjoint in $\mathscr{H}_2$.

Proof. This follows from von Neumann's theorem which states that if T is any closed operator with dense domain, then T^*T is selfadjoint. See [DS88, RS75]. □

Let Δ_{Kre} denote the Krein-extension of the operator $\Delta\big|_{\mathscr{D}_E}$ defined in Definition 2.11. We have the following:

Remark 3.7. As an application, we consider the factorization $\Delta_{Kre} = LL^*$, where Δ_{Kre} is the Krein extension of the graph-Laplacian in $\mathscr{H}_E$. See Theorem 3.9 below.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected network as before, V is countable infinite. Fix a base point $o \in V$, and set $v_x := v_{xo}$, for all $x \in V' := V \setminus \{o\}$.

Definition 3.8. Let $\mathscr{D}'_{l^2}$ be the dense subspace in $l^2\,(V')$, given by

$$\mathscr{D}'_{l^2} = \Big\{(\xi_x) \in l^2(V') \mid \text{ finite support, and } \sum\nolimits_{x\in V'} \xi_x = 0\Big\}. \tag{3.7}$$

Theorem 3.9. *Let $(V, E, c, \Delta\,(= \Delta_c)\,, \mathscr{H}_E, \Delta_{Kre})$ be as above.*

(1) Set

$$L\,((\xi_x)) := \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x \delta_x \in \mathscr{H}_E; \tag{3.8}$$

then $L : l^2\,(V') \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_E$ is a closable operator with dense domain $\mathscr{D}'_{l^2}$; and the corresponding adjoint operator $L^* : \mathscr{H}_E \longrightarrow l^2\,(V')$ satisfies

$$L^*\left(\sum\nolimits_{x\in V'} \xi_x v_x\right) = \xi\,(= (\xi_x))\,. \tag{3.9}$$

(2) LL^* *is selfadjoint,*
(3) $LL^* = \Delta_{Kre}$*; and*
(4) Using Lemma 2.12 (7) we note that L *in (3.8) may also be written in the following form:*

$$L(\xi) = \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x c(x) v_x - \sum\nolimits_y \left(\sum\nolimits_{x\sim y} \xi_x c_{xy} \right) v_y. \tag{3.10}$$

Remark 3.10. In the proof of Theorem 3.9, we will use Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 3.6. (See also [JT14] for more details about graph Laplacians.)

The following are immediate from our constructions:

$$l^2(V') \underset{M}{\overset{L}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathscr{H}_E \qquad\qquad l^2(V') \underset{M^*}{\overset{L^*}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathscr{H}_E \tag{3.11}$$

- $L : l^2(V') \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_E$, with dense domain

$$L((\xi_x)) := \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x \delta_x, \ \forall (\xi_x) \in \mathscr{D}'_{l^2} \subset l^2\left(V'\right), \tag{3.12}$$

  where $(\xi_x) \in \mathscr{D}'_{l^2}$ satisfies $\sum_x \xi_x = 0$; see Def. 3.8.
- $M : \mathscr{H}_E \longrightarrow l^2(V')$,

$$M\left(\sum\nolimits_x \xi_x v_x\right) := (\xi_x), \ \forall \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x v_x \in \mathscr{D}_E \subset \mathscr{H}_E; \tag{3.13}$$

  where $\mathscr{D}_E := \left\{ \sum_{\text{finite}} \xi_x v_x \;\middle|\; \xi_x \in \mathbb{C},\ x \in V' \right\}$, see Def. 2.11.
- $\mathscr{D}'_{l^2}$ is dense in $l^2(V')$, and $\mathscr{D}_E$ is dense in $\mathscr{H}_E$.



(3.14)

Proof of Theorem 3.9. Step 1.

$$\langle L(\xi), u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = \langle \xi, Mu\rangle_{L^2(V')} \tag{3.15}$$

holds $\forall \xi \in \mathscr{D}'_{l^2} \subset l^2(V')$, $\forall u \in \mathscr{D}_E \subset \mathscr{H}_E$. It follows that

$$L \subset M^*, \text{ and } M \subset L^*. \tag{3.16}$$

(We define containment of operators as containment of the respective graphs. We will show that "equality" holds in (3.16).)

The proof will resume after the following digression: □

Lemma 3.11. *Let $\mathscr{H}_1 \supset \mathscr{D} \xrightarrow{T} \mathscr{H}_2$ be densely defined, with $dom\,(T) = \mathscr{D}$, and let*

$$\mathscr{G}\,(T) = \{(u, Tu) : u \in \mathscr{D}\} \subset \mathscr{H}_1 \oplus \mathscr{H}_2$$

be the graph of T. Set

$$\chi \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -v \\ u \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $(u, v) \in \mathscr{H}_1 \oplus \mathscr{H}_2$. Then

$$\chi\,(\mathscr{G}\,(T))^{\perp} = \mathscr{G}\,(T^*)\,.$$

See the diagram below.



Proof. Note the following statements are all equivalent:

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -Tu \\ u \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} w_2 \\ w_1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = 0,\ \forall u \in \mathscr{D}$$
$$\Updownarrow$$
$$\langle Tu, w_2 \rangle = \langle u, w_1 \rangle\,,\ \forall u \in \mathscr{D}$$
$$\Updownarrow$$
$$w_2 \in dom(T^*) \text{ and } T^* w_2 = w_1$$
$$\Updownarrow$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} w_2 \\ w_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathscr{G}\,(T^*)\,.$$

□

Corollary 3.12. *T is closable $\iff$ $dom(T^*)$ is dense in $\mathscr{H}_2$.*

Corollary 3.13. *Let M, M^*, L, L^* be as before. Then*

(1) $\xi \in dom(M^*) \iff \xi \in l^2\,(V')$ and $\exists C = C_\xi < \infty$ s.t.

$$\left|\langle \xi, Mu \rangle_{l^2(V')}\right| \leq C\,\|u\|_{\mathscr{H}_E}\,,\ \forall u \in \mathscr{D}_E; \tag{3.17}$$

(2) $u \in dom(L^*) \iff u \in \mathscr{H}_E$ and $\exists C = C_u < \infty$ s.t.

$$\left|\langle L\,(\xi)\,, u \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E}\right| \leq C\,\|\xi\|_{l^2(V')}\,,\ \forall \xi \in \mathscr{D}'_{l^2}. \tag{3.18}$$

Comments on (3.17), i.e., $dom(M^*)$
Let $u = \sum_x \eta_x v_x$, $\sum \eta_x = 0$, finite support; then

$$\langle \xi, Mu \rangle_{l^2(V')} = \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x \eta_x \tag{3.19}$$

(It suffices to specialize to real valued functions.) Note (3.19) $\Longleftrightarrow$

$$\left|\sum\nolimits_x \xi_x \eta_x\right| \leq C_\xi \left\|u\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_E},\ \forall u \in \mathscr{D}_E;$$

i.e., $\xi \in dom(M^*) \subset l^2(V')$.

Comments on (3.18), i.e., $dom(L^*)$

Using the same notations as above, we get (3.18) $\Longleftrightarrow$

$$\left|\sum\nolimits_x \xi_x \eta_x\right| \leq C_u \left\|\xi\right\|_{l^2},\ \forall \xi \in \mathscr{D}_{l^2(V')};$$

i.e., $u \in dom(L^*) \subset \mathscr{H}_E$.

Corollary 3.14. *We have*

$$u \in dom(L^*) \Longleftrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} u = \sum_x \eta_x v_x \in \mathscr{H}_E \text{ with} \\ (\eta_x) \in l^2(V'),\ i.e., \sum_x |\eta_x|^2 < \infty. \end{array}\right].$$

Proof of Theorem 3.9, continued. Step 2. We have $M \subset L^*$ so $dom(L^*)$ is dense. But we need $M = L^*$ where M is the closure. Turn to ortho-complement:

Fix $\begin{pmatrix} w \\ L^*w \end{pmatrix} \in \mathscr{G}(L^*) =$ graph of L^* s.t.

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{H}_E \ni w := \sum_x \xi_x v_x \\ l^2 \ni \zeta := L^*\left(\sum_x \xi_x v_x\right) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{D}_E \ni u := \sum_x \eta_x v_x \\ \eta := M\left(\sum_x \eta_x v_x\right) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = 0,\ \forall \begin{pmatrix} u \\ Mu \end{pmatrix} \in \mathscr{G}(M)$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$\langle w, u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} + \sum\nolimits_x \zeta_x \eta_x = 0,\ \forall u = \sum\nolimits_x \eta_x v_x \in \mathscr{D}_E$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$\sum\nolimits_x (w(x) - w(o))\,\eta_x + \sum\nolimits_x \zeta_x \eta_x = 0,\ \forall u = \sum\nolimits_x \eta_x v_x \in \mathscr{D}_E$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$\zeta_x = w(o) - w(x) \in l^2(V')$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$L^*w = \xi \left(\text{Recall } w = \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x v_x\right) \Rightarrow L^* = M$$

where we identify M with its closure.

The second equality, $M = L^*$, is Corollary 3.23 below.

Details for the rest of the proof are in Lemma 3.20-3.22, and the corollaries below. □

Remark 3.15. For a general symmetric pair of unbounded operators with dense domains, L_0 and M_0 (as above) we have that each will be contained in the adjoint of the other. So this means that each of the two adjoints must have dense domain; and so be closable. If the closures are denoted L and M, respectively, then this new pair will still have each is contained in the adjoint of the other, but in general these inclusions might be strict. Nonetheless, if one is an equality, then so is the other; i.e, the conclusion $L^* = M$ implies $M^* = L$. (See also Corollary 3.21 below.)

Example 3.16. Let $G = (V, E, c)$, with $V = \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\}$; i.e., a nearest neighbor graph ($x, y \in V$ are connected by an edge whenever x is a a nearest neighbor of y).

Fix $A > 1$. Set

$$c_{n,n+1} \equiv 1,\ c^{(A)}_{n,n+1} = A^n;$$

then $c < c^{(A)}$ point-wise on E.

If we introduce $\mathscr{H}_C$ and $\mathscr{H}_A$, where

$$\mathscr{H}_C = \left\{ u : \sum_n |u(n) - u(n+1)|^2 < \infty \right\}$$
$$\mathscr{H}_A = \left\{ u : \sum_n A^n |u(n) - u(n+1)|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

then

$$v_{xy}(\cdot) = \begin{cases} v_{xy}(x) & \text{if } n < x \\ v_{xy}(y) & \text{if } n > y \\ v_{xy}(x) - n & \text{if } x < n \leq y \end{cases}$$

and

$$v^{(A)}_{xy}(n) = \begin{cases} v^{(A)}_{xy}(x) & \text{if } n < x \\ v^{(A)}_{xy}(y) & \text{if } n > y \\ v^{(A)}_{xy}(x) - \sum_{x<k\leq n} \frac{1}{A^k} & \text{if } x < n \leq y \end{cases}$$

See Fig 3.1 below.

Moreover, if $j_A : \mathscr{H}_A \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_C$ is the natural inclusion then

$$j_A^* v_{xy} = v^{(A)}_{xy}.$$

Proof. See Lemma 5.2. □

Remark 3.17. In $\mathscr{H}_A$, we consider the associated Laplacian

$$(\Delta_A f)(n) = A^n (f(n) - f(n+1)) + A^{n-1} (f(n) - f(n-1))$$

defined initially on the dense domain $\mathscr{D}_A = span\{v^{(A)}_{(n,n+1)}\}$. This operator has deficiency indices $(1, 1)$ as one checks using domination with the geometric series $\sum_1^\infty A^{-n}$.

Remark 3.18 ([JT14]). In the example, $c_{n,n+1} \equiv 1$, the Laplacian $\Delta = \Delta_c$ is bounded.

In the example $c^{(A)}_{n,n+1} = A^n$, $A > 1$, the Laplacian Δ_A is unbounded, and its von Neumann deficiency indices are $(1, 1)$. Note since $A > 1$, so $\{A^{-n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is monotone decreasing, and $\sum_{n\geq k} A^{-n} = \frac{A^{-k+1}}{A-1}$ is convergent.



FIGURE 3.1. The dipoles v_{xy} and $v^{(A)}_{xy}$.

Remark 3.19. The metric space $(\mathbb{Z}_+, d_c)$ is unbounded when $c \equiv$ unit conductance, while $(\mathbb{Z}_+, d_A)$ is a bounded metric space when $c^{(A)}_{n,n+1} = A^n$, $A > 1$.

3.2. **Further Comments**

Fix (V, E, c, o) be as above. Recall

- V - vertices, $\#V = \aleph_0$, so infinite;
- E - edges, see section 2.1 above;
- c - conductance, defined on E;
- o - a fixed base-point in V;
- $\mathscr{H}_E$ - energy space;
- $l^2 := l^2(V')$, $V' := V \setminus \{o\}$, and set $v_x := v_{xo}$, $x \in V'$.

Set

$$\mathscr{D}_E = \left\{ \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x v_x \;\middle|\; (\xi_x) \text{ finite}, \ \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x = 0 \right\} \tag{3.20}$$

$$l^2_0 := \mathscr{D}'_{l^2} = \left\{ (\xi_x) \;\middle|\; (\xi_x) \text{ finite support}, \ \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x = 0 \right\} \tag{3.21}$$

$\mathscr{D}_E$ is dense in $\mathscr{H}_E$, and l^2_0 dense in l^2.

Let L_0 and M_0 be as follows, see Remark 3.10:

$$l^2 \underset{M_0}{\overset{L_0}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathscr{H}_E$$

Set $dom\,(L_0) := l^2_0$, and

$$L_0\xi := \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x \delta_x, \ \forall \xi \in l^2_0; \tag{3.22}$$

Set $dom\,(M_0) := \mathscr{D}_E$, and

$$M_0 u = \xi,\ \forall u := \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x v_x \in \mathscr{H}_E. \tag{3.23}$$

Lemma 3.20. *We have*

$$\langle L_0\eta, u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = \langle \eta, M_0 u\rangle_{l^2}$$

for all $\eta \in l^2_0$, *and all* $u \in \mathscr{D}_E$.

Corollary 3.21. $M_0 \subset L_0^*$, *and* $L_0 \subset M_0^*$. *Identify* M *with the closure of the operator defined initially only on* $\mathscr{D}_E$; *and identify* L *with the closure of the operator defined initially only on* l^2_0; *i.e.,*

$$M := \overline{M_0}, \quad L = \overline{L_0}.$$

Lemma 3.22. $L_0^* = L^*$ *is as follows: A vector* $u \in \mathscr{H}_E$ *is in* $dom(L^*) \iff \Delta u \in l^2\,(V')$; *see Definition 2.4.*

Proof. By definition of the adjoint L^*, $u \in dom(L^*) \overset{D}{\iff} \exists C < \infty$ s.t.

$$\left|\langle L\eta, u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E}\right| \leq C\,\|\eta\|_{l^2}\,,\ \forall \eta \in l^2_0; \tag{3.24}$$

see the diagram below.



Compute (3.24) as follows: Let $\eta \in l^2_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{LHS})_{(3.24)} &= \langle L\eta, u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} \\ &\underset{\text{(by (3.22))}}{=} \sum\nolimits_x \eta_x \langle \delta_x, u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} \\ &= \sum\nolimits_x \eta_x\,(\Delta u)\,(x)\,,\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 2.12 (5). So if (3.24) holds for some $C < \infty$, then $(\Delta u)\,(\cdot) \in l^2$, and $(L^* u)\,(x) = (\Delta u)\,(x)$, so

$$\langle L\eta, u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = \sum\nolimits_x \eta_x\,(\Delta u)\,(\cdot) = \langle \eta, L^* u\rangle_{l^2}\,, \tag{3.25}$$

i.e., $L^* u = (\Delta u)\,(\cdot)$. □

Corollary 3.23.

$$M = L^*. \tag{3.26}$$

Proof. We have (3.26) $M \subset L^*$ by Cor. 3.21. So $\mathscr{G}\,(M) \subset \mathscr{G}\,(L^*)$, i.e., containment of operator graphs. Now compute $\mathscr{G}\,(L^*) \ominus \mathscr{G}\,(M)$: (see (3.22)-(3.23))

We have the graph inner product in $\mathscr{H}_E \oplus l^2$

$$\left\langle \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \sum \xi_x v_x \in \mathscr{H}_E \\ \downarrow \\ (\xi_x) \in l^2 \end{pmatrix}}_{\in \mathscr{G}(M)}, \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} u \in \mathscr{H}_E \\ \downarrow \\ (\Delta u)(x) \in l^2 \end{pmatrix}}_{\in \mathscr{G}(L^*)} \right\rangle \tag{3.27}$$

Assume $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ L^*u \end{pmatrix} \in \mathscr{G}(M)^\perp$. Claim $u = 0$.

Proof of the claim: It is equivalent to prove

$$\left\langle \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x v_x, u \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} + \langle (\xi_x), L^*u \rangle_{l^2} = 0 \tag{3.28}$$

for all (ξ_x) finite supported, s.t. $\sum_x \xi_x = 0$. See (3.23). Now rewrite (3.28) as follows

$$0 = \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x \langle v_x, u \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} + \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x (\Delta u)(x) \tag{3.29}$$

for all (ξ_x) finite support, $\sum \xi_x = 0$; and use

$$\langle v_x, u \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = u(x) - u(o)$$

$$\sum\nolimits_x \xi_x (u(x) - u(o)) = \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x u(x), \text{ since } \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x = 0;$$

then (3.29) $\Longleftrightarrow$

$$\sum\nolimits_x \xi_x \{u(x) + (\Delta u)(x)\} = 0$$

for all finite support (ξ_x) s.t. $\sum_x \xi_x = 0$. But then we get

$$\mathscr{H}_E \ni u = -\Delta u \in l^2$$

and therefore $u \in \mathscr{H}_E \cap l^2$, and $\langle u, \Delta u \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} \geq 0$ by Lemma 2.12. So "$-\|u\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_E} \geq 0$" $\Longrightarrow u = 0$. □

4. The Spectrum of the Graph Laplacians

In this section we show the following result (Theorem 4.1): Starting with a fixed graph (V, E) and a fixed conductance function c, we arrive at two versions of a selfadjoint graph Laplacian, one defined naturally in the l^2 space of V, and the other in the energy Hilbert space defined from c. We prove that, as sets, the two spectra are the same, aside from the point 0. The point zero may be in the spectrum of the second, but not the first. In addition to this theorem, we isolate other spectral similarities. In section 5 below we then study how the spectrum changes subject to variations in choice of conductance function c.

Let $(V, E, c, o, \Delta\,(= \Delta_c), \mathscr{H}_E)$ be as above. As in Definition 3.8, we introduce the operator $L : l^2 \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_E$ where $l^2 := l^2(V')$, $V' := V \setminus \{o\}$. Recall L is defined initially as L_0; and

$$dom(L_0) = \left\{ (\xi_x) \;\middle|\; \text{finitely supported, } \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x = 0 \right\}; \tag{4.1}$$

and we let

$$L := \overline{L_0} \tag{4.2}$$

i.e., the operator arises as the closure of L_0

$$\mathscr{G}(L) = \overline{\mathscr{G}(L_0)} \text{ (norm closure) where} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\mathscr{G}(L_0) = \left\{(\xi, L_0\xi) \;\middle|\; \xi \in dom(L_0)\right\} \subset l^2 \oplus \mathscr{H}_E. \tag{4.4}$$

(For the discussion of closed operators, see [DS88, RS75].)

We assume throughout the conductance c is fixed, and that (V, E, c) is *connected*. Also, we fix a base-point o, and set $v_x := v_{xo}$ (the (xo) dipole for $x \in V'$), and we recall that

$$L_0(\xi) := \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x \delta_x \in \mathscr{H}_E, \text{ and} \tag{4.5}$$

$$L_0^*\left(\sum\nolimits_x \xi_x v_x\right) := (\xi_x) \in l^2\left(V'\right). \tag{4.6}$$

We shall compute the spectrum of the following two versions of the graph Laplacian:

$$(\Delta u)(x) = \sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy}\left(u(x) - u(y)\right). \tag{4.7}$$

V1. Δ is viewed as a selfadjoint operator in the Hilbert space $l^2(V')$

V2. Refers to Δ as the selfadjoint Krein extension Δ_{Kr} in $\mathscr{H}_E$.

For the operator in version 1, we shall write Δ_{l^2} for identification; and

$$\begin{aligned} dom(\Delta_{l^2}) &= \left\{\xi \in l^2 \;\middle|\; \Delta\xi \in l^2\right\} \text{ where} \\ (\Delta\xi)(x) &:= \sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy}(\xi_x - \xi_y) \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

It is known [Jor08, JP11b] that the operator Δ_{l^2} is selfadjoint in l^2; generally unbounded; i.e., its deficiency indices are $(0,0)$ referring to l^2.

Theorem 4.1. *Let Δ_{l^2} and Δ_{Kr} be the selfadjoint graph-Laplacians in $l^2(V')$ and $\mathscr{H}_E$ respectively. Then,*

$$spectrum(\Delta_{l^2}) = spectrum(\Delta_{Kr}) \setminus \{0\}; \tag{4.9}$$

i.e., the spectrum of the two operators agree except for the point 0. In particular, one of the two operators is bounded iff the other is; and then the bounds agree.

We shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. $\Delta_{l^2} = L^*L$.

Proof. Using (4.5), we note that it suffices to prove that for all $\xi \in dom(L_0)$ (eq. (4.1)) we have

$$\langle \xi, \Delta\xi\rangle_{l^2} = \|L\xi\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_E} = \langle \xi, L^*L\xi\rangle_{l^2}. \tag{4.10}$$

But a direct computation yields (4.10); indeed

$$(\text{LHS})_{(4.10)} = \sum_x \xi_x \sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy}(\xi_x - \xi_y)$$

$$= \sum_x \xi_x^2 \, c(x) - \sum_{(xy)\in E_{dir}} \sum c_{xy} \xi_x \xi_y,$$

where $c(x) = \sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy}$.

Now using

$$\langle \delta_x, \delta_y \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = \begin{cases} c(x) & \text{if } x = y \\ -c_{xy} & \text{if } (xy) \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}; \tag{4.11}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{LHS})_{(4.10)} &\underset{\text{(by (4.11))}}{=} \sum\nolimits_x \sum\nolimits_y \xi_x \xi_y \langle \delta_x, \delta_y \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} \\ &= \left\| \sum\nolimits_x \xi_x \delta_x \right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_E} \\ &\underset{\text{(by (4.5))}}{=} \|L\xi\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_E} = (\text{RHS})_{(4.10)} \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired conclusion. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1 . From Theorem 3.9, we have $\Delta_{Kr} = LL^*$. Using the polar decomposition [DS88, RS75] (polar factorization) for the closed operator $L : l^2 \to \mathscr{H}_E$, we get a unique isometry $U : l^2 \to \mathscr{H}_E$ such that

$$L = U (L^*L)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (LL^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} U; \tag{4.12}$$

and therefore by Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 4.2,

$$L = U (\Delta_{l^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\Delta_{Kr})^{\frac{1}{2}} U. \tag{4.13}$$

Note that the two operators in (4.13) under the square root are selfadjoint (and semibounded).

$\Delta_{l^2} \geq 0$ is selfadjoint in l^2; and $\Delta_{Kr} \geq 0$ is selfadjoint in $\mathscr{H}_E$. Hence the conclusion in Theorem 4.1 is a consequence of the polar decomposition in (4.12), i.e., that U is isometric in the ortho-complement of the kernel of Δ_{l^2}. The final space UU^* is $\mathscr{H}_E \ominus \text{Ker}(\Delta_F)$. □

Corollary 4.3. *The contractive selfadjoint operator $B_{Kr} : \mathscr{H}_E \to \mathscr{H}_E$ from Definition 3.3 giving the Krein extension Δ_{Kr} is as follows:*

$$B_{Kr} = U (\Delta_{l^2} + I_{l^2})^{-1} U^* \tag{4.14}$$

where U is the isometry in (4.12).

Proof. Follows from the unitary equivalence assertion in Theorem 4.1. □

We reference here Krein's theory of semibounded operators and their semibounded selfadjoint extensions. In brief outline, Krein developed a theory (see e.g., [HMdS04, AD98, Har56]) for all the selfadjoint extensions of semibounded Hermitian operators such that the selfadjoint extensions preserve the same lower bound. (There are other selfadjoint extensions, not with the same lower bound, but we shall not be concerned

with them here.) Krein showed that the family of selfadjoint extensions (with the same lower bound) is in bijective correspondence with a certain family of contractive selfadjoint operators, which in turn has a natural order such that the two cases, the Krein extension, and the Friedrichs extension, are the extreme ends in the associated "order-interval." From our construction, we note that our particular s.a. extension in the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_E$ is in fact the Krein-extension.

From general theory we have that U in (4.12) is a partial isometry with initial space $U^*U = (\ker(L^*L))^\perp = (\ker(\Delta_{l^2}))^\perp$, but $\ker(\Delta_{l^2}) = 0$ on account of assumption (4) in Section 2.1, i.e., connectedness. This is an application of a maximum principle for Δ_{l^2}. Recall $\Delta = c(I - P)$ where

$$(P\xi)(x) = \sum_{y\sim x} p_{xy}\xi(y), \quad p_{xy} = c_{xy}/c(x); \text{ and}$$
$$\Delta\xi = 0 \Longleftrightarrow P\xi = \xi;$$

and P is a Markov-operator.

The fact that LL^* (as a selfadjoint operator in $\mathscr{H}_E$) is the Krein extension of Δ_E from Lemma 2.12 (4) follows from the following:

If $f \in \mathscr{H}_E$, and

$$\langle f, L\delta_x\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = 0, \quad \text{for } \forall x \in V, \tag{4.15}$$

then $f \in dom(L^*)$, and $L^*f = 0$. Indeed, from (4.15), we have

$$0 = \langle L\delta_x, f\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = \langle \delta_x, L^*f\rangle_{l^2} = (L^*f)(x).$$

5. Variation of Conductance

In this section we study how the spectrum of the graph Laplacian Δ_c changes subject to variations in choice of conductance function c. We prove (Theorems 5.8 and 5.16) that the natural order of conductance functions, i.e., point-wise as functions on E, induces a certain similarity of the corresponding (Krein extensions of the) two graph Laplacians. Since the spectra are typically continuous, fine-structure of spectrum must be defined in terms of equivalence classes of positive Borel measures on the real line. Hence our detailed comparison of spectra must be phrased involving these; see Definition 5.14.

In this section we turn to the details on the comparison the Krein extensions of Δ_c as the conductance function varies.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a network with vertices V and edges E. We assume V is countable infinite, and G is *connected*, i.e., for all x, y in V, $\exists$ a finite path $\{e_i = (x_i x_{i+1})\}$ in E s.t. $x_0 = x$, $x_n = y$. See Fig 5.1.



FIGURE 5.1. A finite path connecting x, y, $(x_i x_{i+1}) \in E$, $c_{x_i x_{i+1}} > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

Given a conductance function c, let $\mathscr{H}_C$ be the energy Hilbert space introduced in section 2.2; where

$$\langle u, v\rangle_C := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{(xy)\in E}\sum c_{xy}(\overline{u(x)} - \overline{u(y)})(v(x) - v(y)) \tag{5.1}$$

$$\|u\|_C^2 := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{(xy)\in E}\sum c_{xy}|u(x) - u(y)|^2. \tag{5.2}$$

Let c^A be another conductance function on E, and $\mathscr{H}_A$ be the corresponding energy Hilbert space. If $c \le c^A$, i.e., $c_{xy} \le c^A_{xy}$ for all $(xy) \in E$, then by (5.2), we have

$$\|u\|_C^2 \le \|u\|_A^2, \ \forall u \in \mathscr{H}_A; \tag{5.3}$$

and so $\mathscr{H}_A \subset \mathscr{H}_C$.



FIGURE 5.2. $c^A \ge c$ point-wise on E.

Definition 5.1. Let

$$j_A : \mathscr{H}_A \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_C, \quad j_A u = u, \ \forall u \in \mathscr{H}_A$$

be the natural inclusion mapping. It follows from (5.3) that j_A is a continuous (contractive) inclusion.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $c, c^A, \mathscr{H}_C, \mathscr{H}_A$ and j_A be as before.*

(1) Then,

$$v^{(A)}_{xy} = j_A^* v_{xy} \tag{5.4}$$

where v_{xy} and $v_{xy}^{(A)}$ are the dipoles in the respective energy spaces satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \langle v_{xy}, u\rangle_C = u(x) - u(y) & \forall u \in \mathscr{H}_C \\ \langle v_{xy}^{(A)}, u\rangle_A = u(x) - u(y) & \forall u \in \mathscr{H}_A \subset \mathscr{H}_C \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

(See Lemma 2.6, and Fig 3.1 for an illustration.)

(2)

$$j_A\left(\delta_x^{(A)}\right) = \delta_x,\ \forall x \in V. \tag{5.6}$$

(3)

$$j_A^*(\delta_x) = \sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy} v_{xy}^{(A)},\ \forall x \in V. \tag{5.7}$$

(4) The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{H}_A & \xleftarrow{j_A^*} & \mathscr{H}_C \\ {\scriptstyle \Delta_A}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Delta(=\Delta_C)} \\ \mathscr{H}_A & \xrightarrow[j_A]{} & \mathscr{H}_C \end{array}$$

i.e.,

$$j_A \Delta_A j_A^* = \Delta \tag{5.8}$$

valid on span $\{v_{xy} : x, y \in V\}$.

Proof. Below we consider pairs of vertices x, y, and s, t as follows:

(1) Let $u \in \mathscr{H}_A$, then

$$u(x) - u(y) = \langle v_{xy}, j_A u\rangle_C = \langle j_A^* v_{xy}, u\rangle_A;$$

which implies $j_A^* v_{xy} = v_{xy}^{(A)}$.

(2) For all $v_{st} \in \mathscr{H}_C$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle j_A \delta_x^{(A)}, v_{st}\right\rangle_C &= \left\langle \delta_x^{(A)}, j_A^* v_{st}\right\rangle_A = \left\langle \delta_x^{(A)}, v_{st}^{(A)}\right\rangle_A \\ &= \delta_{s,x}^{(A)} - \delta_{t,x}^{(A)} = \delta_{s,x} - \delta_{t,x} = \langle \delta_x, v_{st}\rangle_C \end{aligned}$$

and (5.6) follows.

(3) For all $u \in \mathscr{H}_A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j_A^* \delta_x, u\rangle_A &= \langle \delta_x, u\rangle_c = (\Delta_c u)(x) \\ &= \sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy}(u(x) - u(y)) \\ &= \left\langle \sum\nolimits_{y\sim x} c_{xy} v_{xy}^{(A)}, u\right\rangle_A; \end{aligned}$$

eq. (5.7) follows from this.

(4) Let $v_{xy} \in \mathscr{H}_C$, then

$$\begin{aligned} j_A \Delta_A j_A^* v_{xy} &\underset{\text{(by (5.4))}}{=} j \Delta_A v_{xy}^{(A)} \\ &\underset{\text{lem 2.12}}{=} j_A \left(\delta_x^{(A)} - \delta_y^{(A)} \right) \\ &\underset{\text{(by (5.6))}}{=} \delta_x - \delta_y \\ &\underset{\text{lem 2.12}}{=} \Delta_C v_{xy} \end{aligned}$$

which gives (5.8).

□

Let (V, E) be as above, and $\mathscr{H}_C$ and $\mathscr{H}_A$ be the energy Hilbert spaces. Fix a base point $o \in V$, and set $v_x := v_{xo}$, $v_x^A := v_{xo}^A$, for all $x \in V' := V \setminus \{o\}$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y) &= \langle v_x, v_y \rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \\ G^{(A)}(x, y) &= \langle v_x, v_y \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_A} \end{aligned}$$

be the respective Gramians.

Lemma 5.3. *If $c \leq c^A$ on E, let $j_A : \mathscr{H}_A \to \mathscr{H}$ be the natural inclusion as before.*

(i) Then

$$\langle v_x, (j_A j_A^*) v_y \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_C} = G^{(A)}(x, y)$$

for all x, y in V'; and

(ii) We have $\Delta_y G_{xy} = \delta_{xy}$.

Proof. For (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_x, j_A j_A^* v_y \rangle_{\mathscr{H}} &= \langle j_A^* v_x, j_A^* v_y \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_A} \\ &= \left\langle v_x^A, v_y^A \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_A} = G^{(A)}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

See the diagram below.



Proof of (ii). We have the following facts for the Gramian $G(x, y) = \langle v_x, v_y \rangle_{\mathscr{H}}$:

(1) $G(x, y) = v_y(x) - v_y(o)$, where $x, y \in V' = V \setminus \{o\}$, and o is a fixed chosen base point;

(2) $\Delta_y G_{xy} = \delta_{xy}$.

□

Corollary 5.4. *The following holds:*

$$v_x^A(y) - v_x^A(o) = (j_A j_A^*)(v_x)(y) - (j_A j_A^*)(v_x)(o).$$

Corollary 5.5. *Let $(V, E, c, o, \Delta\,(=\Delta_c), \mathscr{H}_E)$ be as above. Let c_A be a second conductance function defined on E and satisfying $c_A \geq c$ point-wise on E. (Note c_A may be unbounded, even though c might be bounded.) Let the operators j_A and j_A^* be as above, i.e.,*

$$\mathscr{H}_A \underset{j_A^*}{\overset{j_A}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathscr{H}_E \tag{5.9}$$

then

$$Ker\,(j_A) = \{0\}\,. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. For operators in Hilbert space, we denote by Ker, and Ran, kernel and range, respectively. We have

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,(j_A) = \mathrm{Ran}\,(j_A^*)^{\perp} \tag{5.11}$$

with "$\perp$" on the RHS in (5.11) denoting ortho-complement. But by Lemma 5.2 (eq. (5.4)), we note that all dipole vectors $v_{xy}^{(A)}$, for x and y in V, are in $\mathrm{Ran}\,(j_A^*)$. Since their span is dense in $\mathscr{H}_A$, by Lemma 2.8, the desired conclusion (5.10) follows, i.e., $\mathrm{Ker}\,(j_A) = \{0\}$. □

Corollary 5.6. *Let c and c_A be as above, $c \leq c_A$ assumed to hold on E. Then the partial isometry $W : \mathscr{H}_A \hookrightarrow \mathscr{H}_E$, in*

$$j_A = W\,(j_A^* j_A)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (j_A^* j_A)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,W \tag{5.12}$$

is isometric, i.e.,

$$W^*W = I_{\mathscr{H}_A}. \tag{5.13}$$

Proof. This is immediate from the previous corollary, and an application of the polar-decomposition theorem for bounded operators, see e.g., [DS88, RS75]. □

Corollary 5.7. *Let V, E, c and c_A be as above, with $c_A \geq c$, and let the operators $j_A = W\,(j_A^* j_A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\Delta_F\,(=(\Delta_c)_F)$ and $\Delta_F^{(A)}$ be as described, i.e., the respective Krein extensions; then*

$$W\Delta_F^{(A)}W^* = \Delta_F, \text{ and} \tag{5.14}$$

$$W\Delta_F^{(A)} = \Delta_F W. \tag{5.15}$$

Proof. Immediate from the previous two corollaries.

Note in particular that (5.14)$\Longleftrightarrow$(5.15) in view of the isometry conclusion (5.13) in the last corollary. □

Theorem 5.8. *Fix $G = (V, E)$. Let o be a base point in V, and set $V' := V \setminus \{o\}$. Let c and c_A be the conductance functions s.t. $c \leq c_A$ holds point-wise on E. Let Δ, $\Delta^{(A)}$ be the graph-Laplacians, $v_x := v_{xo}$, $v_x^{(A)} := v_{xo}^{(A)}$, $x \in V'$, be the dipoles. Then,*

$$j_A \Delta_{Kre}^{(A)} j_A^* = \Delta_{Kre}. \tag{5.16}$$

The key step of the proof are the lemmas below.

Lemma 5.9. *Let (V,E), c, c^A and L, L_A be as before, assume $c \le c^A$ point-wise on E; and let $j_A : \mathscr{H}_A \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}$ be the natural inclusion.*

(i) Then

$$L_A^* j_A^* \subseteq L^*; \tag{5.17}$$

i.e., the following diagram commutes.



(ii) We have $L \supseteq j_A L_A$.

Proof. Let $u = \sum \xi_x v_x$, $\sum \xi_x = 0$, be any vector in $\mathscr{D}_E$ (eq. (2.21)); see part (1) of Theorem 3.9. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} L_A^*\left(j_A^* u\right) &= L_A^*\left(\sum \xi_x j_A^*\left(v_x\right)\right) \\ &\underset{\text{lem 5.2}}{=} L_A^*\left(\sum \xi_x v_x^{(A)}\right) \\ &= (\xi_x) = L^* u \end{aligned}$$

and the assertion follows.

Proof of part (ii): Taking adjoint of eq. (5.17). □

Lemma 5.10. *Every selfadjoint H operator with dense domain in a Hilbert space is maximal Hermitian.*

Proof. Suppose H is selfadjoint, and that T is a Hermitian extension; then $H \subset T$, and by taking adjoints, we get $T^* \subseteq H^*$. Hence

$$T \subseteq T^* \subset H^* = H^* \subseteq T$$

so $T = H$. □

Proof of Theorem 5.8 . We apply Theorem 3.9 (also see [JT14]) to both $(\Delta, \mathscr{H}_C)$ and $\left(\Delta^{(A)}, \mathscr{H}_A\right)$, and arrive at the following factorizations:

$$L L^* = \Delta_{Kre} \text{ in } \mathscr{H} \tag{5.18}$$

$$L_A L_A^* = \Delta_{Kre}^{(A)} \text{ in } \mathscr{H}_A \tag{5.19}$$

Then, by (5.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{Kre} &\underset{(5.18)}{=} L L^* \\ &\underset{(5.17)}{\subseteq} \left(j_A L_A\right)\left(L_A^* j_A^*\right) \\ &= j_A\left(L_A L_A^*\right) j_A^* \\ &\underset{(5.19)}{=} j_A \Delta_{Kre}^{(A)} j_A^*. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof we apply Lemma 5.10 to the two operators in $\mathscr{H}_E$, $H = \Delta_{Kre}$ and $T_A = j_A \Delta_{Kre}^{(A)} j_A^*$. Here H is selfadjoint on $\mathscr{H}_E$, and T_A is a Hermitian extension; hence by the Lemma 5.10, $T_A = \Delta_{Kre}$. □

Remark 5.11. Fix two conductance functions c and c_A, and let j_A be as before. See (5.9) and the diagram below:



Since for $u \in \mathscr{H}_A$, we have

$$\sum\sum c_{xy} \left|u(x) - u(y)\right|^2 \leq \sum\sum c_{xy}^{(A)} \left|u(x) - u(y)\right|^2 \tag{5.20}$$

it follows that $j_A : \mathscr{H}_A \xrightarrow{j_A} \mathscr{H}_C$ is *contractive*.

Since j_A, j_A^* are bounded operators, we have

$$\|j_A^*\|_{\mathscr{H}_A} = \|j_A\|_{\mathscr{H}_C} \leq 1. \tag{5.21}$$

Example 5.12 (Example 3.16 revisited)**.** Let $V = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_+$, i.e., nearest neighbors. Let c, c_A be two conductance functions given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n,n+1} &:= n \\ c_{n,n+1}^{(A)} &:= A^n,\ A > 1,\ n \in V. \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{H}_C &= \left\{ u : V \to \mathbb{C} \;\middle|\; \sum_n n \left|u(n) - u(n+1)\right|^2 < \infty \right\} \\ \mathscr{H}_A &= \left\{ u : V \to \mathbb{C} \;\middle|\; \sum_n A^n \left|u(n) - u(n+1)\right|^2 < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $v_{n,n+1}$ and $v_{n,n+1}^{(A)}$ be the respective dipoles, as illustrated in Fig 3.1.

Then, $j_A^*(v_{n,n+1}) = v_{n,n+1}^{(A)}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ (by (5.4)), and

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{n,n+1}\|_{\mathscr{H}_C}^2 &= 1 \\ \left\|v_{n,n+1}^{(A)}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_A}^2 &= \frac{1}{A^n} \to \infty \\ \|v_{n,n+1}\|_{\mathscr{H}_A}^2 &= A^n \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.13. *In Example 5.12, the operator $j_A j_A^* : \mathscr{H}_C \to \mathscr{H}_C$ is trace class, and*

$$\mathit{trace}\,(j_A j_A^*) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A^n}.$$

Proof. Note the dipoles $\{v_{n,n+1} : n \in V\}$ forms an ONB in $\mathscr{H}_C$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathit{trace}\,(j_A j_A^*) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle v_{n,n+1}, j_A j_A^* v_{n,n+1} \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_C} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle j_A^* v_{n,n+1}, j_A^* v_{n,n+1} \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_A} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\langle v_{n,n+1}^{(A)}, v_{n,n+1}^{(A)} \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_A} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A^n} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

□

5.1. **Comparing Spectra**

Let $(V, E, c, o, \Delta, \mathscr{H}_E)$ be a network, with a fixed conductance function c on E. We assume, as above, that (V, E, c) is connected, and that $\#V = \aleph_0$.

We fix a second conductance function c_A on E, and assume the following estimate:

$$c_A \geq c \quad \text{holds on } E. \tag{5.22}$$

Hence we get two energy Hilbert spaces $\mathscr{H}_E$ ($= \mathscr{H}_C$, see (5.1)-(5.2)) and $\mathscr{H}_A$ with the natural inclusion mapping $j_A : \mathscr{H}_A \hookrightarrow \mathscr{H}_E$ as follows

$$\mathscr{H}_A \underset{j_A^*}{\overset{j_A}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathscr{H}_E \tag{5.23}$$

and the respective graph Laplacians:

- Δ_F with its dense domain in $\mathscr{H}_E$; and
- $\Delta_F^{(A)}$ with its dense domain in $\mathscr{H}_A$.

We shall study the following notions from spectral theory of selfadjoint operators:

Definition 5.14. Let T be a selfadjoint operator with dense domain in a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$; and let $P^T(\cdot)$ be the associate projection valued measure; see e.g., [DS88]. For all vectors $u \in \mathscr{H}$, set

$$d\mu_u(\cdot) = \left\| P^T(\cdot)\, u \right\|^2.$$

μ_u is a finite Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e., defined on the Borel sigma algebra $\mathscr{B}$. We have:

$$u \in dom\,(T) \Longleftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^2 d\mu_u\,(\lambda) < \infty; \tag{5.24}$$

and then

$$\langle u, Tu\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda d\mu_u\,(\lambda) \tag{5.25}$$

where the integral is absolutely convergent.

If ψ is a Borel function, then a vector $u \in \mathscr{H}$ is in the domain of the operator $\psi\,(T)$ iff $\psi \in L^2\,(\mu_u)$; and then

$$\|\psi\,(T)\,u\|^2 = \int |\psi|^2\,d\mu_u < \infty. \tag{5.26}$$

Definition 5.15. A finite positive Borel measure μ is said to be in $\mathfrak{M}\,(T)$ iff (Def.) $\exists u \in \mathscr{H}\backslash\,\{0\}$ such that

$$d\mu = d\mu_u = d\left\|P^T\,(\cdot)\,u\right\|^2. \tag{5.27}$$

We say that $\mathfrak{M}\,(T)$ is the *spectral contents* of the operator T.

If μ and ν are positive finite measures, we say that $\mu \ll \nu$ if the following implication holds:

$$[S \in \mathscr{B},\ \nu\,(S) = 0] \Longrightarrow \mu\,(S) = 0;$$

and we then introduce the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}$ i.e., $\frac{d\mu}{d\nu} \in L^2_+\,(\mathbb{R}, \nu)$, and

$$\mu\,(S) = \int_S \frac{d\mu}{d\nu}\,(\lambda)\,d\nu\,(\lambda)\,,\ \forall S \in \mathscr{B}. \tag{5.28}$$

Theorem 5.16. *Let $\mathscr{H}_E$ and $\mathscr{H}_A$ be as above, consider $u \in \mathscr{H}_E\backslash\,\{0\}$, and $\mu = \mu_u \in \mathfrak{M}\,(\Delta_{Kr})$; then $u_A := j_A^* u$ yields $\mu_{u_A}^{(A)} \in \mathfrak{M}(\Delta_{Kr}^{(A)})$ if and only if $u_A \neq 0$. In this case, we have*

$$\mu_u \ll \mu_{u_A}^{(A)}. \tag{5.29}$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.8 (eq. (5.16)) we have

$$j_A \Delta_{Kr}^{(A)} j_A^* = \Delta_{Kr},$$

and $j_A \Delta_{Kr}^{(A)} j_A^* j_A \Delta_{Kr}^{(A)} j_A^* = \Delta_{Kr}^2$; and so in the natural order of Hermitian operators, we have

$$\Delta_{Kr}^2 \leq j_A \left(\Delta_{Kr}^{(A)}\right)^2 j_A^*$$

since $j_A j_A^* \leq I_{\mathscr{H}_E}$ by Lemma 5.2. By induction, we get

$$\Delta_{Kr}^n \leq j_A \left(\Delta_{Kr}^{(A)}\right)^n j_A^*, \tag{5.30}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where again we use the order "$\leq$" on Hermitian operators in the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_E$.

By Stone-Weierstraβ and measurable approximation, we get the following estimate:

$$\left\|P_{\Delta_{Kr}}(S)\,u\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_E} \leq \left\|P_{\Delta^{(A)}_{Kr}}(S)\,j_A^* u\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_A} \tag{5.31}$$

for all $S \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R})$ (= all Borel subsets of $\mathbb{R}$). Now, introduce the measures from (5.26)-(5.27), and we get:

$$\mu_u^{(\Delta_{Kr})}(S) \leq \mu_{j_A^* u}^{(\Delta^{(A)}_{Kr})}(S) \tag{5.32}$$

for all $S \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R})$; which is the desired conclusion in the theorem, see (5.29). From (5.32), we are then able to verify relative absolute continuity for the respective measures, and to compute the Radon-Nikodym derivatives:

$$d\mu_u^{(\Delta_{Kr})} \Big/ d\mu_{j_A^* u}^{(\Delta^{(A)}_{Kr})} \in L^1_+\left(d\mu_{j_A^* u}^{(\Delta^{(A)}_{Kr})}\right).$$

□

Remark 5.17. Eq (5.31) refers to extending information for a given intertwining operator, intertwining a given pair of selfadjoint operators. We pass from intertwining of the operators to intertwining of the respective projection valued measures as follows. There are in four steps; first from a given intertwining of the operators themselves, pass to the intertwining property for polynomials in the respective selfadjoint operators; then passing to the functional calculus for continuous functions of compact support applied to the operators (Stone-Weierstrass), and finally to the measurable functional calculus. When the latter is applied to indicator functions for Borel subsets, we get the respective projection valued measures corresponding to the given pair of selfadjoint operators.

5.2. **Comparing Harmonic Functions, and Dirac Masses**

Let $(V, E, c, \Delta, \mathscr{H}_E)$ be as before. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{H}_E) &= \mathscr{H}_E\text{-closed span of } \left\{\delta_x \,\middle|\, x \in V\right\}\\ \mathrm{Harm}(\mathscr{H}_E) &= \left\{h \in \mathscr{H}_E \,\middle|\, \Delta h = 0\right\}\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.18.

$$\mathscr{H}_E = \mathit{Fin}(\mathscr{H}_E) \oplus \mathit{Harm}(\mathscr{H}_E). \tag{5.33}$$

Proof. Immediate from the fact

$$\langle \delta_x, f\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_E} = (\Delta f)(x) = \sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy}\left(f(x) - f(y)\right), \tag{5.34}$$

see Lemma 2.12 (5). □

Let c_A be a second conductance function, and assume $c_A \geq c$; set $j_A : \mathscr{H}_A \to \mathscr{H}_C$, and $j_A^* : \mathscr{H}_C \to \mathscr{H}_A$ the adjoint, i.e.,

$$\langle j_A^*(w), u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_A} = \langle w, u\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{C}}}$$

holds for all $u \in \mathscr{H}_A$.

Corollary 5.19.

$$j_A^*\left(Harm\left(\mathscr{H}_C\right)\right) \subset Harm\left(\mathscr{H}_A\right). \tag{5.35}$$

Proof. Let $h \in \mathrm{Harm}\left(\mathscr{H}_C\right)$, and let $x \in V$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_A\left(j_A^*(h)\right)(x) &= \left\langle j_A^*(h), \delta_x^A\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_A}\\ &= \left\langle h, j_A\left(\delta_x^A\right)\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_C}\\ &= \langle h, \delta_x\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_C} = \left(\Delta_c h\right)(x) = 0;\end{aligned}$$

so $j_A^*(h) \in \mathrm{Harm}\left(\mathscr{H}_A\right)$ as claimed. □

For the action of j_A^* on the point masses δ_x, we then have the following:

Theorem 5.20.

$$j_A^*\left(\delta_x\right) - \delta_x^A = \left(c(x) - c^{(A)}(x)\right) v_x^{(A)} - \sum_{y\sim x}\left(c_{xy} - c_{xy}^{(A)}\right) v_{xy}^{(A)},$$

where v_x and $v_x^{(A)}$ are the respective dipoles, i.e., with a fixed base point $o \in V$, $v_x := v_{xo}$, $v_x^{(A)} := v_{xo}^{(A)}$, for $x \in V' := V\setminus\{o\}$.

Proof. We have $\delta_x = c(x)\, v_x - \sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy} v_y$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}j_A^*\left(\delta_x\right) &= c(x)\, j_A^*\left(v_x\right) - \sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy} j_A^*\left(v_y\right)\\ &= c(x)\, v_x^{(A)} - \sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy} v_y^{(A)};\end{aligned}$$

and the desired formula now follows by a subtract, and

$$\delta_x^A = c^{(A)}(x)\, v_x^{(A)} - \sum_{y\sim x} c_{xy}^{(A)} v_y^{(A)}.$$

□

Below, we contrast our use of the Krein extensions (above) with the corresponding Friedrichs extensions and their respective quadratic forms.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an infinite *connected* network as before. Fix two conductance functions $c, c^{(A)} : E \to \mathbb{R}_+$, and assume $c_{xy}^{(A)} \geq c_{xy}$ for all $(xy) \in E$.

Settings:

- $\mathscr{H}_A, \mathscr{H}_C$ - the energy spaces with respect to $c^{(A)}$ and c; i.e., the completion of span of dipoles w.r.t. the corresponding energy inner products.
- $j_A : \mathscr{H}_A \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_C$ the natural inclusion in Definition 5.1.
- v_{xy}, $v_{xy}^{(A)}$ - dipoles in the respective energy spaces.
- δ_x, $\delta_x^{(A)}$ - as defined in (2.19).

- $\Delta\,(=\Delta_c)$, Δ_A - graph Laplacians in the respective energy spaces.

Recall that

$$j_A : \mathscr{H}_A \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_C$$

is a continuous injection, and

$$\|u\|_C \leq \|u\|_A\,,\ \forall u \in \mathscr{H}_A.$$

The operator $j_A j_A^* : \mathscr{H}_C \to \mathscr{H}_C$ is positive, selfadjoint, $\|j_A j_A^*\|_C \leq 1$.

Let Δ_A, Δ be the graph-Laplacians, where

$$dom(\Delta_A) = span\{v_{xy}^{(A)}\}$$
$$dom(\Delta) = span\{v_{xy}\}$$

Set

$$M_A := I_{\mathscr{H}_A} + \Delta_A, \quad M := I_{\mathscr{H}_C} + \Delta$$

and let

$$\mathscr{H}_{M_A} = \text{completion of } dom(\Delta_A) \text{ w.r.t } \|\varphi\|_{M_A}^2 := \langle \varphi, M_A\varphi\rangle_A$$
$$\mathscr{H}_M = \text{completion of } dom(\Delta) \text{ w.r.t } \|\varphi\|_M^2 := \langle \varphi, M\varphi\rangle_C\,.$$

By Lemma 3.1, and the assumption $c^{(A)} \geq c$, we have

$$\|u\|_{M_A} \geq \|u\|_M \geq \|u\|_C$$
$$\|u\|_{M_A} \geq \|u\|_A \geq \|u\|_C\,,\ \forall u \in \mathscr{H}_{M_A}.$$

Consequently, the inclusions in the diagram below are all contractive:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{H}_A & \xrightarrow{j_A} & \mathscr{H}_C \\ {\scriptstyle j_1}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle j_2} \\ \mathscr{H}_{M_A} & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{H}_M \end{array}$$

Let $\widetilde{M}_A \supset M_A$, $\widetilde{M} \supset M$ be the respective Krein extensions; i.e.,

$$\widetilde{M}_A = I_{\mathscr{H}_A} + \Delta_{Kre}^{(A)}$$
$$\widetilde{M} = I_{\mathscr{H}_C} + \Delta_{Kre}.$$

Lemma 5.21. *The following hold.*

(1) $dom(\widetilde{M}) = j_2^*(\mathscr{H}_C)$, $\mathscr{H}_M = dom(\widetilde{M}^{1/2})$;

(2) For all $u \in dom(\widetilde{M})$, $v \in \mathscr{H}_M$,

$$\langle u, v\rangle_M = \langle \widetilde{M}u, v\rangle_C; \tag{5.36}$$

and

(3) $dom(\widetilde{M}_A) = j_1^*(\mathscr{H}_A)$, $\mathscr{H}_{M_A} = dom(\widetilde{M}_A^{1/2})$;

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} j_A\widetilde{M}_A j_A^* &= j_A j_A^* + \Delta_{Fri} \\ &= \widetilde{M} + (j_A j_A^* - I_{\mathscr{H}_C})\,. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See e.g., [RS75, DS88]. □

Acknowledgement. The co-authors thank the following colleagues for helpful and enlightening discussions: Professors Sergii Bezuglyi, Paul Muhly, Myung-Sin Song, Wayne Polyzou, Gestur Olafsson, Keri Kornelson, and members in the Math Physics seminar at the University of Iowa. We are grateful to an anonymous referee for very helpful clarifications and improvements.

References

[AD98] Damir Z. Arov and Harry Dym, *On three Krein extension problems and some generalizations*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **31** (1998), no. 1, 1–91. MR 1618628 (99f:47019)

[AG93] N. I. Akhiezer and I. M. Glazman, *Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space*, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1993, Translated from the Russian and with a preface by Merlynd Nestell, Reprint of the 1961 and 1963 translations, Two volumes bound as one. MR 1255973 (94i:47001)

[Ana11] Victor Anandam, *Harmonic functions and potentials on finite or infinite networks*, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, vol. 12, Springer, Heidelberg; UMI, Bologna, 2011. MR 2816628 (2012h:31001)

[Bar93] M. T. Barlow, *Random walks, electrical resistance, and nested fractals*, Asymptotic problems in probability theory: stochastic models and diffusions on fractals (Sanda/Kyoto, 1990), Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., vol. 283, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1993, pp. 131–157. MR 1354153 (96i:60082)

[BC05] R. Burioni and D. Cassi, *Random walks on graphs: ideas, techniques and results*, J. Phys. A **38** (2005), no. 8, R45–R78. MR 2119174 (2006b:82059)

[BCD06] Lali Barrière, Francesc Comellas, and Cristina Dalfó, *Fractality and the small-world effect in Sierpinski graphs*, J. Phys. A **39** (2006), no. 38, 11739–11753. MR 2275879 (2008i:28008)

[CH08] D. A. Croydon and B. M. Hambly, *Local limit theorems for sequences of simple random walks on graphs*, Potential Anal. **29** (2008), no. 4, 351–389. MR 2453564 (2010c:60218)

[CM13] B. Currey and A. Mayeli, *The Orthonormal Dilation Property for Abstract Parseval Wavelet Frames*, Canad. Math. Bull. **56** (2013), no. 4, 729–736. MR 3121682

[DS88] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part II*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1988, Spectral theory. Selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space, With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle, Reprint of the 1963 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR 1009163 (90g:47001b)

[EO13] Martin Ehler and Kasso A. Okoudjou, *Probabilistic frames: an overview*, Finite frames, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013, pp. 415–436. MR 2964017

[GLS12] Martin J. Gander, Sébastien Loisel, and Daniel B. Szyld, *An optimal block iterative method and preconditioner for banded matrices with applications to PDEs on irregular domains*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **33** (2012), no. 2, 653–680. MR 2970224

[Gri10] Geoffrey Grimmett, *Probability on graphs*, Institute of Mathematical Statistics Textbooks, vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010, Random processes on graphs and lattices. MR 2723356 (2011k:60322)

[Har56] Philip Hartman, *Perturbation of spectra and Krein extensions*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **5** (1956), 341–354 (1957). MR 0087060 (19,296c)

[HJL+13] Deguang Han, Wu Jing, David Larson, Pengtong Li, and Ram N. Mohapatra, *Dilation of dual frame pairs in Hilbert C^*-modules*, Results Math. **63** (2013), no. 1-2, 241–250. MR 3009685

[HMdS04] Seppo Hassi, Mark Malamud, and Henk de Snoo, *On Kreĭn's extension theory of nonnegative operators*, Math. Nachr. **274/275** (2004), 40–73. MR 2092324 (2005f:47061)

[Jor08] Palle E. T. Jorgensen, *Essential self-adjointness of the graph-Laplacian*, J. Math. Phys. **49** (2008), no. 7, 073510, 33. MR 2432048 (2009k:47099)

[JP10] Palle E. T. Jorgensen and Erin Peter James Pearse, *A Hilbert space approach to effective resistance metric*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **4** (2010), no. 4, 975–1013. MR 2735315 (2011j:05338)

[JP11a] Palle E. T. Jorgensen and Erin P. J. Pearse, *Resistance boundaries of infinite networks*, Random walks, boundaries and spectra, Progr. Probab., vol. 64, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011, pp. 111–142. MR 3051696

[JP11b] ———, *Spectral reciprocity and matrix representations of unbounded operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), no. 3, 749–776. MR 2799579

[JT14] Palle Jorgensen and Feng Tian, *Frames and factorization of graph laplacians*, ArXiv e-prints (2014).

[KL12] Matthias Keller and Daniel Lenz, *Dirichlet forms and stochastic completeness of graphs and subgraphs*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **666** (2012), 189–223. MR 2920886

[KLZ09] Victor Kaftal, David R. Larson, and Shuang Zhang, *Operator-valued frames*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), no. 12, 6349–6385. MR 2538596 (2010h:42060)

[KMRS05] Norio Konno, Naoki Masuda, Rahul Roy, and Anish Sarkar, *Rigorous results on the threshold network model*, J. Phys. A **38** (2005), no. 28, 6277–6291. MR 2166622 (2006j:82054)

[KOPT13] Gitta Kutyniok, Kasso A. Okoudjou, Friedrich Philipp, and Elizabeth K. Tuley, *Scalable frames*, Linear Algebra Appl. **438** (2013), no. 5, 2225–2238. MR 3005286

[RAKK05] G. J. Rodgers, K. Austin, B. Kahng, and D. Kim, *Eigenvalue spectra of complex networks*, J. Phys. A **38** (2005), no. 43, 9431–9437. MR 2187996 (2006j:05186)

[RS75] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics: Fourier analysis, self-adjointness*, Fourier analysis, Self-adjointness, no. v. 2, Academic Press, 1975.

[SD13] F. A. Shah and Lokenath Debnath, *Tight wavelet frames on local fields*, Analysis (Berlin) **33** (2013), no. 3, 293–307. MR 3118429

[TD03] Christophe Texier and Pascal Degiovanni, *Charge and current distribution in graphs*, J. Phys. A **36** (2003), no. 50, 12425–12452. MR 2025876 (2004i:81264)

[Tet91] Prasad Tetali, *Random walks and the effective resistance of networks*, J. Theoret. Probab. **4** (1991), no. 1, 101–109. MR 1088395 (92c:60097)

[VZ92] H. Vogt and A. Zippelius, *Invariant recognition in Potts glass neural networks*, J. Phys. A **25** (1992), no. 8, 2209–2226. MR 1162879 (93c:82044)

[Woj07] R. K. Wojciechowski, *Stochastic Completeness of Graphs*, Ph.D. thesis, PhD Thesis, 2007, 2007.

[Zem96] A. H. Zemanian, *Random walks on finitely structured transfinite networks*, Potential Anal. **5** (1996), no. 4, 357–382. MR 1401072 (97g:94056)

(Palle E.T. Jorgensen) Department of Mathematics, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242-1419, U.S.A.

E-mail address: palle-jorgensen@uiowa.edu

URL: http://www.math.uiowa.edu/~jorgen/

(Feng Tian) Department of Mathematics, Wright State University, Dayton, OH 45435, U.S.A.

E-mail address: feng.tian@wright.edu

URL: http://www.wright.edu/~feng.tian/